UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PROOFPOINT, INC	2.	CASE NO. 5:11-CV-02288 MEJ	
	Plaintiff(s),		
v INNOVA PATENT		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS	
INNOVA PATENT	Defendant(s).		
Counsel following stipul	report that they have met and ation pursuant to Civil L.R. 1	conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 6-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:	
The parties agre	e to participate in the followi	ng ADR process:	
	rocesses:		
_ □ 1	Non-binding Arbitration (AD)	R L.R. 4)	
	Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)		
ADR Phone Co	nference. See Civil Local Rul Process:	form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for e 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5) process and provider) MEDIATION	
Ø	ee to hold the ADR session be the presumptive deadline (The referring the case to an ADR)	y. e deadline is 90 days from the date of the order process unless otherwise ordered.)	
D	other requested deadline	<u> </u>	
Dated: 10 6	7/11	Attorney for Defendant	
		Automos Agranda	

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

-[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursu	ant to the Stipulation above, the captic	oned matter is hereby referred to:
	Non-binding Arbitration	
	Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)	
	Mediation	
紅	Private ADR	
Dead	line for ADR session	
区	90 days from the date of this order.	
	other	
IT IS SO OR	DERED.	
Dated: Nove	ember 7, 2011	Lucy H. Koh
		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
		Lucy H. Koh
		United States District Judge